

INDEX TO APPENDICES TO BRIEF OF EVIDENCE OF MARK PHILLIP CHAMBERLAIN

Appendix	Document	Page number
A	Letter to Judge Wainwright 3 December 2002	1
B	Rūnanga minutes of Hui-a-hapū 14 April 2018	3
C	Rūnanga minutes of Special General Meeting 13 May 2018 Photograph of whiteboard from hui	7 11
D	Signed Terms of Reference	12
E	Extract from Rūnanga website	13
F	Advertisement in New Zealand Herald Advertisement in Wairarapa Times Age	14 15
G	Letter from Ngāi Tūmapūhia-ā-Rangi ki Ōkautete Inc 22 July 2018 Letter from Ngāi Tūmapūhia-ā-Rangi ki Mōtūwairaka Inc 22 July 2018	16 17

'A'

Mark Chamberlain

11 O'Leary Road

POKENO

Phone: 09 233 6767, Mobile: 0274 978 178, Facsimile: 09 233 6766.

E-Mail: mcandpc@free.net.nz - or - marke@xtra.co.nz

Tuesday, 3 December 2002

Judge C M Wainwright
Presiding Officer
Waitangi Tribunal

By Hand at Masterton.

Dear Judge Wainwright,

RE: Ngai Tumapuhia A Rangi Treaty Claims Wai 429 and Wai 886.

I am unable to attend the hearing due to be held at Masterton 5 December 2002, therefore I have asked a local hapu member to deliver this and other letters to you in person, in order to inform you of the serious troubles still plaguing our hapu.

Myself and many other Ngai Tumapuhia hapu members do not agree with the present Ngai Tumapuhia Marae Committee, and their self appointed claims committees' insinuations that they believe (and are trying to have you believe) that they represent us.

This stance that we have taken will remain in place until the present Marae Committee agrees to address and rectify our concerns as outlined in my letters 17 November and 3 December 2002. I enclose copies of my letters and a copy of a letter to me from Mere Kerehi outlining the goings on at the hapu meeting 24 November 2002.

As an example of the present Marae Committee's lack of respect for procedure and protocol, I reluctantly enclose the responses of two committee members. The disregard the committee has towards other hapu members concerns is demonstrated by their failure to accept and file my letter of concern...and then reply in a professional manner.

Future Direction

Your Honour, as a positive way forward, may I propose the following. You might like to make a judicial re-order that the un-mandated claimant group give opportunities to the wider hapu membership to meet, discuss and make recommendations to the selection of a properly mandated hapu representation and claimant group. In this way you might help us to manage any future risk and or fall-out. The advantages of your re-order would be:

- a) To satisfy a judicial requirement that a mandate be received from the wider hapu membership. The process might include at least five well-publicised and well-attended hui by hapu members from around Aotearoa. Obviously, this suggests that the Hui are not held only in Masterton. There is precedence when Tainui Waikato held Hui around

Aotearoa and Australia. (Perhaps Te Puni Kokiri or Crown Forestry might fund, facilitate, record and disseminate each Hui recommendations).

- b) To minimise the detrimental effects of a small, exclusive membership self-declaring that they have a mandate.
- c) To avoid permanent damage to hapu affairs in the short to long-term, and to protect the hapu from an un-mandated claimant group. If proper judicial processes are followed than protection is again given to the present and future hapu membership.

Given the above, the hapu will be better protected against possible future legal, judicial or political claims of unfair decision making on its behalf.

Yours Sincerely,



Mark Chamberlain

Ngāi Tūmapūhia ā Rangi ki Wairarapa

Hui a Hapu

Date: Saturday 14 April 2018

Venue: Education Centre, Dixon Street

Attendees: Bob Hill, Marie Collin, Mark Chamberlain, Ian Perry, Jill Perry, Heidi Humphries, Tina Humphries, Sue Taylor, Hoani Paku, Sam Morris, Panatahi Sue, Pauline Chamberlain, Pete Te Tau, Missy (Hana) Riddell, Char Mason, Paddy Mason, Sheralee O'Connor, Hone Oneroa, Inia Eruera (late arrival), Deb Donaldson, Edwin Perry, Lisa Jane Rogers, Ryshell Griggs

Karakia: Marie Collin

Welcome: Bob Hill

- Discussion on who will chair the meeting. Bob Hill asked, and on agreement of hapu members present, Bob Hill to chair.

Apologies: Chris Perry, Andre Perry, Sean Perry, Jo Topp, Vicki Didsbury, Gem Pearson, Vicki Stainton, Judy Beetham, Simon Beetham, Kathy Beetham, James Lutherus, Sydney Kingi, Lynn Paku, Philip Paku, Barbara Cameron, Jack Morris, Leah Bain, Auntie Sally, Inia Eruera (late arrival 11.30), Kate Lewis, Matt Perry, Edwin Perry (late arrival)

Motion: Move that apologies be received	Sue Taylor/Hoani Paku (carried)
--	---------------------------------

- All hapu members introduced themselves to the group.

Minutes of 11 February 2018

Corrections

- Page 1: Tanya Sue recorded twice in apologies.

Minutes Format

- Discussion on the format of the minutes regarding how detailed they need to be. Current minutes are very detailed and although they are a comprehensive record of meetings, a more summarised version is required.
- Suggestion that discussion relating to specific topics be collated. It was agreed by those present that minutes should take the format of:
 1. Topic
 2. Discussion on that topic
 3. Actions arising from discussion
 4. Any relevant recommendations and/or motions.
- Hui are now recorded and can be referred to should further detail be required. These recordings should be saved in duplicate – one for storage and one for use.
- Additionally, it was noted that any supporting documentation referred to in the minutes should be made available alongside the minutes for clarity and context, or made available on our website. There was further discussion on whether sensitive information should go out to the public arena, and how we keep such information confidential.

Action: Look into whether our website can offer password entry to documentation and cost. (Deb)

- **Motion:** Move that the minutes are the minutes of 11 February 2018 are approved in principal, subject to the new process to be developed.
Hoani Paku/Sue Taylor (carried)

Matters arising:

Clarification between Hapu Karanga, Hapu and Runanga

- Further clarification sought as to the difference between the above 3 entities.
- Today's hui is a Hui a Hapu.
- Hapu – the hapu of Tūmapūhia consists of whanau hapu. If you whakapapa to Tūmapūhia you are the uri of Ngai Tūmapūhia a rangi by birth right.
- Hapu Karanga – the Crown construct where all hapu groups (cluster) have been put together (this is a nationwide situation). Members must be 18 years of age and registered with the Treaty Trust.
- Runanga – was born out of the claim process. Is a legal entity which has been set up to progress the claim and accept the putea at settlement.
- Discussion on the scope of the Runanga. Originally set up to progress the claim, however, the Trust Deed states that the Runanga “represents the uri of Ngai Tūmapūhia a rangi” which gives it more scope than just the claim. Has the power to represent the hapu in a wide range of outcomes and though not originally designed to deal with issues such as Fisheries, the original kaupapa has broadened over time. It is important to note that the Runanga has an obligation to consult with its hapu members.
- Note: There are Tūmapūhia whanau based in other rohe also, but for this mahi, we are talking specifically about those who whakapapa to Tūmapūhia a rangi in the Wairarapa. Our boundaries.

Ngaumu Forest

- Following settlement, Ngaumu has a period of 35 years (contracted with JNL) then the new owners take over. This period allows for one replant (approx. 28 years but allowance for issues). The contract with JNL states that they leave the land in trees.
- Mark Chamberlain advised his report uses scale attached to annual rental – in most cases this will go up beside other costs. Should Ngaumu be removed from the claim, this would guarantee an income from rentals. As the owner we could negotiate new rentals on the lease. The potential farmland under Ngaumu is about \$1b asset.
- Sue Taylor advised that in the 2012 Deed of Mandate - Clause 5 they talk about auxiliary claims. There are 2 we know of – Tūmapūhia and Wairarapa Moana. The deed was amended in 2013 and 2016 and we didn't have a say. Ngaumu is an asset of the state – we shouldn't be buying it back, it should be given back. The Act gives us the right to make application to the Tribunal to negotiate that.
- Bob Hill confirmed that this needs to go back to Trustees and the Crown wont entertain it as they want the settlement sorted. Sam Morris said that the Government has to work within the laws too.
- Discussion on ownership of the forest. The law says that we need to prove ownership but it was noted that there are many surrounding hapu who can/do whakapapa to Ngaumu. The other point is Kaitiakitanga of the forest which is Tūmapūhia. Is this a process that needs to go back to the Trustees? Ian Perry confirmed that the the Runanga is set up to consult with the hapu.
- Mark Chamberlain suggested this discussion is bigger than just the Trustees. Maybe call a hui specifically to look at the option of resumption to give our hapu an opportunity to give a clear indication.
- We need to debate these issues wider. Whanau from around the country/world need to be informed about options.
- Additional comments:
 - Land is managed by LINZ. JNL is the leasee.

- CFRT rentals were from all forestry. Reinvested in 4 banks. Money was not allowed to be invested anywhere else. Returns from those investments funded the settlement processes to those groups who whakapapa to forest.
- Sam Morris noted that rentals were amalgamated through all forestry. Ngaumu is one of the last forestry blocks.
- Suggestion that a Special General Meeting be held. Date for a hui 13 May 2018. 10am. Venue tbc.

Motion: *Move that the Runanga call a Special General Meeting to discuss the return of Ngaumu Forest to the hapu of Ngai Tūmapūhia a rangi.*
Inia Eruera/Mark Chamberlain (carried).

Position Paper – Update

- In Jacks absence, Ian read an email from Jack updating his report. The peer review is being undertaken and is taking longer than anticipated as the person doing the work has a full time job. This report will be discussed further at a later date.

PSGE Election

- Letter to Lee Gray regarding PSGE elections to be discussed at the Runanga hui following this Hui a Hapu.
- From Hui a hapu 11 Feb 2018 – complaint expressed regarding the election process. Bob Hill to sign off as chair for that day. Bob Hill advised that anybody can submit a query.
- There is a level of dissatisfaction regarding the processes adopted by the returning officer. Sue Taylor advised that she had opportunity to vote twice and Mark Chamberlain had nieces who got 2 lots of voting papers.
- Ian Perry confirmed that the Returning officer, Meihana is in charge of the election process. There will be a review but they are a separate organisation. Meihana is going to furnish the Trust with a report which covers all areas of complaints and that will go a Trust board meeting.
- Sue Taylor commented on the interference by Treaty Trust to discuss Tamaki's issues. Ian Perry replied that it was the interpretation of the Trust Deed – Meihana agreed that he interpreted the deed differently to what was actually required. Do need to tidy that up in terms of review.
- Bob Hill queried what happens if review comes out in a negative light? There are options available to complainants.
- Sue confirmed she has complained and this is now in disputes resolution. We need to have our concerns recorded.
- Ian Perry advised to wait for Meihana's report and see what it says. Something will come out pending on that. Review has to go to an independent from the Wellington law society.
- Bob Hill confirmed that to take the next step we need to find what the Meihana says. Both the independent reviewers and the review will be interesting to read.
- Discussion on how this proceeds – as no disputes resolution in place. As this is an external thing this is possibly not covered by the Te Ropu Kaitohutohu. Bob confirmed that there are potentially 2 processes – complaint as an individual to Poti Elections or through the Runanga to the Trustees.
- Sue Taylor suggested that the complaint for HK needs to be taken by the HK delegate back to the Trust. Those of us here who have made complaints should go in as a collective of the hapu to the Trust.
- Mark Chamberlain: should not be via the Runanga.
- Inia Eruera suggested that a collective statement be sent from those in the room to feed back to the Treaty Trust. There were multiple errors in the process.
- Heidi Humphries added that there was a lack of professionalism in the reporting in the newspaper for example. Plus people not being registered/receiving voting papers.

- Possibly need to look at registrations and why only small numbers registered or voting. Strike rate of 36% is low. People not updating their details when they move? How do we combat that?

Motion: *We as a collective, present at this hui a hapu 14 April 2018, are lodging a complaint for the unprofessional and incompetent election process for the election of Trustees for KKWTNR PSGE.*
Inia/Hoani (11 for, 5 abstained – I Perry, D Donaldson, M Riddell, E Perry, P Te Tau) (carried)

- Bob will sign off this complaint as chair for the day.

Special Business – Establishment of Te Roopu Kaitohutohu

- Hoani Paku outlined the kaupapa for establishment of Te Ropu Kaitohutohu. Read the clause regarding this from Trust Deed. Very happy to be part of the process to start things off. Reference: Section 40 from Trust Deed.
- Hoani's Recommendation: we as a hapu as individuals, as whanau, as member of marae, of whanau who are not here in Wairarapa but identify with NTAR should have the opportunity to put names of NTAR elders who would meet the specified criteria. Suggest that it be a respected elder. Now is the opportunity as a hapu to put those names forward... then go to the Runanga and they make a decision where to go from here.
- It was queried whether the Runanga need to take the advice of this group. Hoani advised "may engage" does not mean that they don't have to consult with them... but would be brave not to as breaching tikanga. Need to move this kaupapa forward.
- Mark Chamberlain: would it be a good idea to get a newsletter out for people to be put forward?
- How do we get this out there? We have mediums currently available to us – Facebook and Website.
- Sue Taylor would like to go on Te Roopu Kaitohutohu,. Also put forward Pantahi Sue, Bob Hill, Matt Paku, Dennis Paku, Sam Morris, Tina Humphries, Barbara Cameron, Takirangi Smith, Auntie Sally (Hariata Tahana), Mere Kerehi, Auntie Bev Waipuka, Alyson Bullock,
- Hoani Paku put forward Mark Chamberlain. Also Inia Eruera (accepted). Queried whether there would be a conflict of interest and if Mark was included he could abstain from issues that might conflict with being a Runanga Trustee.
- Hoani advised that there is no limit to the number of people but it's all very well having your name put forward but ultimately it's up to person if they accept.

Motion: *That the Hui a Hapu supports the establishment of Te Ropu Kaitohutohu as reflected in the Trust Deed Section 40.*
Ian/Hoani (carried)

- Needs to go out on database, website, Facebook etc. It is completely voluntary. Needs understanding, life experience to manage issues in front of us. Age is not a barrier. Hoani clarified his earlier statement of "respected elder" - respected elder could be any age in a sense.
- Names should be sent to the Runanga. To Hoani Paku. Contact email on Panui.

Meeting closed 3pm.

Ngāi Tūmapuhia-a-rangi ki Wairarapa

Special General Meeting – 13 May 2018 – 1pm

Venue: REAP House – Rangitumau Room

Attendees: Deb Donaldson, Joanna Topp, Geoff Perry, Ian Perry, Edwin Perry, Peter Te Tau, Mepara Flutey, Connie Waipuka Oneroa, Hone Oneroa, Missy Rutene, Hoani Paku, Jill Perry, Sam Morris, Mark Chamberlain, Pauline Chamberlain, Sue Taylor, Richard Taylor, Owen Perry, Jason Morris, Paddy Mason, Brian Emery, Leah Bain, Ryshell Griggs, Piripi Paku Snr, Barbara Cameron, Bo Paku Clark, Lynn Paku, Heidi Jane Humphries, John Perry, Jack Morris.

Karakia/Welcome: Hoani Paku

Reply: Bob Hill

Chairperson: Bob Hill

SGM Agenda:

- To discuss the return of Ngaumu Forest to the hapu of Ngāi Tūmapūhia ā Rangi ki Wairarapa.

Members present mihi to the group.

Apologies:

Chris Perry, Andre Perry, Sean Perry, Marie Collin, Kyy Hill, Sydney Kingi, Elizabeth Thomas, Christine Lamb, Kathy Beetham, Vicki Didsbury, apologies from Motuwairaka marae whanau. Christina Humphries, Bev Waipuka, Waina Emery, Melody Makiri, Missy Riddell, Inia Eruera, Michael Hullah, Huatahi Paku, Charmain Mason, Francis Paku, Marcell Chamberlain, Andrew Clarke, Vicki Chamberlain, Tania Chamberlain, Chrystal Curtis, Te Tau Whanau, Katie Perry, Sarah Perry, Tigerlily Perry, Matt Pearson, Gemma Pearson, Kate Lewis.

- Email tabled and read by Sue Taylor from Motuwairaka Marae. Was lodged by the marae as the KKWTNR Trust Deed names the marae. (This is on file in correspondence.)

Discussion on Ngaumu

- Ngaumu area goes from Whareama, swings round towards Glenburn - we want to be sure we are talking about the Ngaumu area and of our boundaries. About 10000 hectares (30000 acres) based on CFRT records. Te Maipi was the burial place of the chiefs. There is significance in Ngaumu.
- There is no dispute that we all agree we want the forest back – however it's the mechanism within which we need to work to get the forest back. There are 2 different views from 2 different groups within the hapu:
 - 1) That Ngaumu is brought back to the Hapu so we get the benefits immediately.
 - 2) That Ngaumu stays with the collective and comes back to us later.

- Sue Taylor stated that the SOE Act is pretty clear that the forest can be returned to the claimants/owners. SOE Forest Act – are auxiliary claims. Sit separate to the KKWTNR Claim. Read the “auxiliary clause” at the request of Bob Hill (Treaty Trust clause 5, “auxiliary interest” - section 5.1-5.4)
- Wairarapa Moana submitted to the Tribunal and were found to have grounds. This effectively opens the door for us to do the same. Though as aforementioned, this is only one side of the argument.
- Ian Perry advised that when things start to get to a conclusion that is where the leverage is. There is a consultation hui on the 27th May to discuss what Tūmapūhia want back. In 2010 Tumapuhia headed the formation of the collective as the Crown would not negotiate with any individual hapu. We gave our mandate to the collective. The Crown is not interested in looking at any individual claim.
- Another concern is if we are able to bring Ngaumu back, have we got the structures or financing to look after that kind of money? Why not follow the lead of Ngai Tahu for example, who held their money and assets together and invested wisely and are now receiving the benefits.
- Bob Hill queried how we would progress the challenge if agreed upon.
- The Crown currently owns Ngaumu. It was queried, that if we have a legitimate claim, why would we give it away? The Crown have said they will be fair and honourable but with recent decisions this wasn't done.
- Jack Morris stated that Tumapuhia does not have a 100% interest in Ngaumu. Our research did not prove that we have 100% ownership in Ngaumu. Hinewaka and Papuma also have an interest in the forest (disputed by other attendees who think the mana of Hinewaka and Papauma with forest comes from us). Marae received the report about the resumption – this needs the support of the entire hapu, and of Papauma and Hinewaka. Recommends:
 - 1) Keep it together for a period of time
 - 2) Get the benefits from it being in the collective. There will be returns.
 - 3) Timeframe is 35 years. It's locked in a lease agreement with JNL for 35 years and we can't raise capital against it.
- Hoani Paku mentioned it's about whenua and mana. Jack replied that our mana nor our kaitiaki status is jeopardised by the claim. Leah Bain agreed that one of the benefits of the forest returning immediately is the mana, we have ownership and it's worth money. However in terms of immediate benefits of the collective, Tumapuhia sits at that table, and there is money for marae and development of marae, health etc. We have not lost anything by doing this.
- Barbara Cameron commented that she doesn't think there will be a decision today. Will need to be a group to discuss this. Talking about land and forest. Consider what will the process will be.
- John Perry queried why we are trying to split away from something that gives us a collective benefit. Need to think about where we are going to be in 20 years time – put some scale to the issue.
- Sue Taylor responded that Ngaumu is the only state owned asset within the collective. We are only interested in the land. With the collective, the returns will come back to the collective. The legal view we have is outdated – it has changed. This is about our whenua; it is cultural.
- Jack Morris commented that if you forget the economic arguments, and think about our mana, this is not compromised. Commercial arguments do not outweigh the mana in that forest. Our kaitiakitanga remains the same.
- Sam Morris: SOE Act – only recommendation that is binding is the SOE forest.
- Connie Oneroa confirmed that the mana of the tangata is Tumapuhia. We need to move forward.
- Note: At the 27 May hui there needs to be a clear message to the Trust that Ngaumu forest comes back to us. Though Ian said not to jump the waka when we have leverage. Wait to see what we can get back. They must recognise the manawhenua of each hapu in the collective. Let's see what the collective can offer us. The claim is based on hapu.
- After proposal paper's done by Jack Morris and one by Mark Chamberlain, it is potentially difficult to visualise the economic argument but the decision remains as to whether we go it alone or stay with the collective.

- Heidi Humphries noted that the whole hapu needs to agree to go down the SOE route. We have 2 distinct groups with opposing opinions. Sue Taylor said it needs majority support.
- It was commented that this needs to be a balanced argument. Opportunities to put forward our views.
- Bob Hill said that he doesn't think that anyone in this room has undermined the mana. The mana is at the top end of the ladder.
- Hoani Paku said that mana is power, whakapapa, prestige and authority. If the forest goes to the collective, where is the power, whakapapa and prestige. If 600 votes come back and 500 say to stay, and 100 say to go, then he will sit down.
- Note: It is all about how we move forward now. Return of Ngaumu has always been the goal.
- Mark Chamberlain suggested looking into a group to put the application together. However it was noted by others that we can't make a decision about the SOE until the hapu has agreed and why don't we wait until the 27th hui and listen to what they have to say as by making that group, we are indicating that we want to pull out.
- There was discussion as to the Runanga responsibilities and why the Trustees can't do the job? Sue Taylor responded by asking why the Runanga has not taken us down this track already. Referred to the Trust Deed and the definition of HK "electoral grouping represented by the KKWTNR Trust". The Runanga is the body set up to do this role. Bob asked about the proposed small group and its role and function. Runanga's role is overarching role. What would be the role of the Runanga? Sue responded by saying that the role of the Runanga is to make sure the group is set up to progress this work.
- Jack Morris questioned what is between the difference what his report is saying and the need to investigate other opportunities? We have said this is an option in the position paper so why is it being proposed again? Sue Taylor responded by saying that the focus has been about the commercial redress. We are wasting time. Our people need to know there is an option.
- Leah Bain noted that much of this discussion has already been had and there was a report on the table (Jack's position paper). If that small group is to progress it needs to be a balanced approach. The hapu needs to have a balanced option.
- Lynn Paku noted that she has not heard anybody talk about the wairua of Ngaumu. Talking about the forest - in a collective as Wai429 - what happens to our claim if Wai429 comes out? Apart from Ngaumu forest which is part of Wai429, you are only seeing one part of the whole package. The whole package includes whakapapa, loss of language etc. which people are arguing about and what we stand for.
- Barbara Cameron said that the small group will be responsible for getting information and voting papers out and collate information for the application. Connie Oneroa queried whether the small group will have rules, roles and responsibilities to adhere to the same as the Runanga does?
- Sue Taylor said the Runanga was set up to progress Wai429 claim. Hapu Karanga was set up to be part of the collective. When the vote in 2010 went down, they went in based on the hapu, not the Runanga. Jack Morris noted that the Runanga has a mandate to move this claim forward. The concern is, if the Runanga does not support the exercise of investigating the resumption order, what is the impact on the rest of the claimant group. Sue responded by advising that if the small group comes up with the recommendation then the Runanga takes the report to the Tribunal. Jack said to put the application in and see if it's possible, and then go back to our people and let them know the options available to us. Need to submit the order to see the response from the Tribunal. They will either say yes or no.
- Funding for the small group was discussed. Potentially CFRT funding though Bob said that although we whakapapa to a forest, it doesn't guarantee funding. Lodging a claim doesn't cost money but follow up will.
- It was suggested that the Runanga appoints a working group with a brief provided by the Runanga. There was discussion on how this might be carried out with differing views on the motion's structure and the group's function.

Motion: that the Runanga appoints a group of 4 people to complete and submit a resumption order application to the Waitangi Tribunal for Wai429. (No specific mover or seconder on recording). For: 19; Against: 4.

- Sue proposed that the Runanga co-opt Bryan Emery to assist with the application due to experience with such documents. This was agreed.
- Working group is to be made up of:
 - 1) Barbara Cameron
 - 2) Susan Taylor
 - 3) Mark Chamberlain
 - 4) Connie Oneroa
- Barbara Cameron said that the requirements to submit a resumption order are:
 - Supporting evidence
 - Where it sits within the claim

That should be the scope of the group.

- Sue Taylor said that the result of the SGM needs to go out to our membership ASAP. Bob agreed.
- Bob tabled letter from Waitangi Tribunal regarding Wairarapa Moana moving into compensation with the Crown.

Karakia: Hoani.

Meeting closed: 4.04pm

To discuss the return of Ngāumau Forest to the hāori?

1. Kua riro whenua atu.

Kua hōkū mai whenua

(Right) S. 11 Treaty Trust

* SDE Act 1988

Auxiliary clause

to return to the owners

size of land interest

Ngāi Tunapuhiaurangi to challenge.

→

* Talk With Crown

Support for other hapū.

- Register

- Courts

- Support of G. Heide

Ngāumau

- Area

Runanga

Small Group

- go out to members

- Tribunal track

- submit a resumption order.

Motion: Apts a group to complete and submit a resumption order.

10 WOT for WAI 629.

Barbara C.

Susan

MC.

Conrad O

"D"

Terms of Reference

Authority

Following a decision at a Special General Meeting on 13 May 2018, the Runanga of Ngai Tumapuhia a Rangi has established a working group of four Hapu members to carry out a short-term project.

The four members are: Mark Chamberlain (Runanga Trustee) Barbara Cameron, Connie Oneroa and Sue Taylor (all registered Runanga members)

Brian Emery co-opted for his expertise to support the kaupapa.

Project statement

To prepare and submit to the Waitangi Tribunal an application for the resumption order for Ngaumu Forest

Chair of the Project Group

The Runanga has appointed the Chair to be Mark Chamberlain. The Chair will call and chair all meetings of the Project Group.

Role of Project Group

Meetings should transact important business. Group members should present their completed items of business. Where more information should be obtained to complete a business item, it should be time-ordered. The Group is responsible for the preparation of, and accountable for the entire application. Upon agreement, the Chairman should submit the application to the Waitangi Tribunal by the project deadline.

Reporting to the Chairman of the Runanga

The Chair is required to report to the Chairman of the Runanga, an interim, progress report by 21 May 2018. The final report to the Chairman indicating evidence that the application for the resumption order has been submitted to the Waitangi Tribunal, on or before 30 May 2018

Budget

Nil

Reporting to the Hapu

Both Chairpersons should collaborate to inform and discuss the application to Hapu members at the first available Hapu meeting.

Signed

Chairman Runanga o Ngai Tumapuhia a Rangi

Date

"E"

← → ↺ 🏠 🌐 www.tumapuhia.org.nz/claimupdate.html

📖 ☆ ⚙️ 🔍 📄 📧 ⋮

To see favorites here, select ☆ then ☆, and drag to the Favorites Bar folder. Or import from another browser. Import favorites


Find on page Enter text to search

No results

< > Options ▾

✕

Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tūmapūhia-ā-Rangi ki Wairarapa



[HOME](#) [TRUSTEES](#) [WHAKAPAPA](#) [REGISTRATION](#) [CLAIM UPDATE](#) [NEWS](#) [ELECTIONS 2017](#) [GALLERY](#) [LINKS](#) [CONTACT US](#)

Following a decision at a Special General Meeting on 13 May 2018, the Rūnanga of Ngāi Tūmapūhia a Rangi has established a working group of four Hapū members to carry out a short-term project which is to prepare and submit to the Waitangi Tribunal, an application for the resumption order for Ngāumu Forest

The four members are: Mark Chamberlain (Rūnanga Trustee & Chair for the project) Barbara Cameron, Connie Oneroa and Sue Taylor (all registered Rūnanga members) and Brian Emery co-opted for his expertise to support the kaupapa.

On-Account Redress:


15 August 2017

The Crown has approved an On-Account redress of 20% of the settlement quantum and the next step is for the Deed of On-Account to be executed which allows for the payment to be processed.

The Deed of On-Account was finalised on August 9th 2017, and submitted for consideration and approval to the NKKWTNAR Trustees. The Trustees approved the Deed of On-Account at the NKKWTNAR Trust meeting held on Monday 14th August 2017 and the payment of \$18.6million will be forwarded to the PSGE within 10 working days.

<http://www.tumapuhia.org.nz/claimupdate.html>

Saturday, July 14, 2018 Weekend Herald

PUBLIC NOTICES	PUBLIC NOTICES	PUBLIC NOTICES	PUBLIC NOTICES	PUBLIC NOTICES	
PUBLIC NOTICES					
<p>HUI OF THE WORKING ROOPU</p> <p>Ngāi Tūmāpūhia-ā-Rangi ki Wairarapa Application to Waitangi Tribunal for Resumption of Ngaumu Crown Forest whenua</p> <p><i>(This is an opportunity for Rūhanga trustees and hapu members to meet with the Working Group to discuss the Application prior to the hui-a-hapū scheduled for 1:00pm, 22 July 2018)</i></p> <p>Saturday 21st July - 2.00pm - 4.00pm</p> <p>Lodge 1-2, Copthorne Solway Park, High Street, Masterton</p> <p>Agenda</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Karakia / Mihi 2. Introduction by Working Group 3. Presentation of Application by Dixon & Co Lawyers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Tribunal's Jurisdiction under the Treaty of Waitangi Act 1975 • Ngāi Tūmāpūhia interests in Ngaumu whenua <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wai 429 claim - Wairarapa ki Tararua Tribunal findings • Tribunal criteria for urgent remedies hearing • Application for resumption 4. He Patai 5. Closing Karakia 	<p>Ngāi Tūmāpūhia-ā-Rangi Tēhatah Parsons</p> <p>Election of Trustees to the Omaha Marae Trust Saturday 4th August 2018 10am</p> <p>Taumata A</p> <p>Enquiries: chapman.jessie@hotmail.com</p>	 <p>FARMERS MARKET NZ</p> <p>AGM</p> <p>MONDAY 30 JULY 2018 3PM</p> <p>Mt Pleasant Community Centre 3 McConacks Bay Road Mt Pleasant Christchurch</p> <p>Election of officers General business</p>	<p>ENTRY ON THE NEW ZEALAND HERITAGE LIST</p> <p>NOTICE is hereby given under Section 73 of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 of the entry on the New Zealand Heritage List/Rārangi Kōrero of:</p> <p>Mofflin House (Former), DEVONPORT, List No. 4526</p> <p>as a Category 2 historic place. This entry will take effect from Wednesday, 18 July 2018.</p> <p>Contact Heritage New Zealand for a copy of the report or go to www.heritage.org.nz.</p> <p>Sherry Reynolds Director Northern</p>	<p>NEWMARKET WORKINGMEN'S CLUB</p> <p>NOTICE OF SPECIAL GENERAL MEETING</p> <p>TAKE NOTICE that a Special General Meeting (SGM) will be held on the 29 July 2018 at 11:00am, at 7-11 Crowhurst Street in Newmarket. At the SGM the proposed motion that the club be dissolved and its net assets distributed evenly amongst members, will be discussed and then voted on.</p> <p>A Copy of the motion and voting forms are on the Club notice board and have been posted to all members.</p> <p>AND TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT in order to vote upon the proposed motions at the SGM You must either:</p>	<p>Advertisement of Application for Putting Company into Liquidation</p> <p>This document notifies you that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On 15th June 2018 an application for putting LIQUOR TRADERS LIMITED into liquidation was filed in the High Court at Auckland. Its reference number is CIV-2018-404-1160. The application is to be heard by the High Court at Auckland on 10th August 2018 at 10:00 am. 2. A person other than the defendant company, who wants to appear at the hearing of the application, must file an appearance not later than the second working day before that

ces

Gardening & Landscaping

Public Notices

ON

ual
ing
n
uly
n
7

s

end

CS

and
in
a
he
week

33

1.52

A

06 379 7587 027 446 8256

Public Notices

CARTER SOCIETY INCORPORATED*'Carterton Community owned and
Community led'***NOTICE IS GIVEN THAT THE ANNUAL
GENERAL MEETING OF THE CARTER
SOCIETY INC. WILL BE HELD****2.00PM ON TUESDAY 31st July 2018 AT
CARTER COURT REST HOME,
95 - 97 PEMBROKE STREET, CARTERTON.**The Agenda will include presentation of the
Annual Report, presentation of staff awards
and progress on developments.

All welcome

Elaine Brazendale, Chairperson
Carter Society Inc**HUI OF THE WORKING ROOPU****Ngai Tūmapuhia-ā-Rangi ki Wairarapa
Application to Waitangi Tribunal for
Resumption of Ngaumu Crown Forest whenua***(This is an opportunity for Rūnanga trustees and
hapu members to meet with the Working Group to
discuss the Application prior to the hui-a-hapu
scheduled for 1:00pm, 22 July 2018)***Saturday 21st July - 2.00pm - 4.00pm**Lodge 1-2, Copthorne Solway Park, High Street,
Masterton**Agenda**

1. Karakia / Mihi
2. Introduction by Working Group
3. Presentation of Application by Dixon & Co
Lawyers
 - The Tribunal's jurisdiction under the Treaty of
Waitangi Act 1975
 - Ngai Tūmapuhia interests in Ngaumu whenua
 - Wai 429 claim
 - Wairarapa ki Tararua Tribunal findings
 - Tribunal criteria for urgent remedies hearing
 - Application for resumption
4. He Pata
5. Closing Karakia

Public Notices

**Notice of a unique opportunity
in South Wairarapa.****Become part of our future!**

22 July 2018

Attention: Waitangi Tribunal

Tēna koutou

Re: Ngāumu Forest


Ngāi Tūmapūhia-a-Rangi ki Ōkautete Inc (Ōkautete Marae) is one of three Ngāi Tūmapūhia-a-Rangi Marae legal entities named in Clause 2.3 of the Deed of Mandate on behalf of Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa –Tāmaki Nui ā Rua, August 2012¹

On Saturday 21 July 2018 and Sunday 22 July 2018, hui were held for the hapū of Ngāi Tūmapūhia-a-Rangi to update and inform beneficiaries about the resumption rationale of Ngāumu Forest, the legalities, the process and filing of an application to the Waitangi Tribunal.

As a result of those two hui, Ngāi Tūmapūhia-a-Rangi ki Ōkautete Inc (Ōkautete Marae) unreservedly supports the resumption application filed by Dixon & Co Lawyers acting for Ryshell Griggs, a name claimant for WAI 429.

Ngā mihi nui

Wirihana (Sam) Morris
Chairman
Ngāi Tūmapūhia-a-Rangi ki Ōkautete Inc

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Sam Morris', is written over the printed name of the Chairman.

¹ <https://www.govt.nz/treaty-settlement-documents/ngati-kahungunu-ki-wairarapa-tamaki-nui-a-rua/>



22 July 2018

Attention: Waitangi Tribunal

Tēnā koutou


Re: Ngāumu Forest

Ngāi Tūmapūhia-a-Rangi ki Mōtūwairaka Inc (Mōtūwairaka Marae) is one of three Ngāi Tūmapūhia-a-Rangi Marae legal entities named in Clause 2.3 of the Deed of Mandate on behalf of Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa –Tāmaki Nui ā Rua, August 2012¹

On Saturday 21 July 2018 and Sunday 22 July 2018, hui were held for the hapū of Ngāi Tūmapūhia-a-Rangi to update and inform beneficiaries about the resumption rationale of Ngāumu Forest, the legalities, the process and filing of an application to the Waitangi Tribunal.

As a result of those two hui, Ngāi Tūmapūhia-a-Rangi ki Mōtūwairaka Inc (Mōtūwairaka Marae) unreservedly supports the resumption application filed by Dixon & Co Lawyers acting for Ryshell Griggs, a name claimant for WAI 429.

Ngā mihi nui



22/7/18

Jason Paku
Chairman

Ngāi Tūmapūhia-a-Rangi ki Mōtūwairaka Inc